

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/623,533	09/05/2000		Dominique P. Bridon	REDC-1510USA		
20872	7590	01/20/2006		EXAMINER		
	-	STER LLP	PARKIN, JEFFREY S			
425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1648		

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/623,533	BRIDON ET AL.	
Examiner	A 11 14	
	Art Unit	

	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	).	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 August, 2005, FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONE	ITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of ndment, af eal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the dater than SIX MONTHS fro	ate set forth m the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		) WHEN IH	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED MITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspond hortened statutory period than three months after th	ding amount for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> . A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	), or any extension ther	eof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l				ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ch (see NO	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	naterially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		·	-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 4, 6, 19-21, 31, 36-39, 52, 53, and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>' 55</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections ι	inder appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the cla	ims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the a	pplication i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	19) Paper N	10(5)	
			Jeffrey S. Parkin, P Primary Examiner Ad Unit: 1648	h.D.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' proposed amendment significantly changes the scope and nature of the invention and will clearly require further consideration and/or searching. Applicants are reminded that they cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate

previously canceled claims. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 with a

submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 37 CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e).